SOLVOLYSIS OF ALLENYLCARBINYL CHLORIDE AND ITS CYCLOPROPANATED HOMOLOGS

A. T. BOTTINI^{*} and J. E. CHRISTENSEN[†]

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, California 95616

(Received in *the USA* **30 My 1973; Received in** *the UK* **forpublication 2** *October* **1973)**

Abstract-Allenylcarbinyl, cyclopropylideneethyl, methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl, and spiropentyl**carbinyl chloride were prepared and their soivolysis reactions in aqueous ethanol or aqueous dioxane or both were studied. Consideration of the solvolytic reactivity of these compounds and their products** in aqueous dioxane indicate that all react, at least in part, *via* positive charge-delocalized transition states.

INTRODUGI'ION

Allenylcarbinyl chloride (I) and its cyclo**propan&** homologs (2-4) constitute a useful series for assessing the ability of carbons with different degrees of unsaturation **to** accommodate positive charge.# Allylic-like resonance of allenylcarbinyl cation (5) and cyclopropylideneethyl **ca**tion (6) results in dclocalization of charge, respcctively, to a central allcnic carbon and a carbon common to a double bond and a cyclopropane ring; and $cyclopropylcarbinyl-like resonance of 2-methyl$ enecyclopropylcarbinyl cation (7) or spiropentylcarbinyl cation (8) results in **delocalization of** charge to cyclopropyl carbons that differ in degree of unsaturation. WC describe heie the preparation of l-4 and study of their solvolysis reactions.9

Synthesis. Allenylcarbinyl chloride (1) was **prepand** most conveniently by addition of hydrochloric acid to vinyl acetylene in the presence of calcium chloride,' and 2-4 were prepared by treat-

 $H_2C=C=CHCH_2Cl$ \geq CHCH₂Cl **1 1 1 1** CH₂Cl CH.Cl **3 4**

fNDEA Fellow, 1963-1966; NIH Predoctoral Fellow, **1%6-1967. #For recent reviews of vinyl cations, see Refs 1-3,**

Wtudies have been reported recently of acid-catalyzed

§Studies have been reported recently of acid-catalyzed reactions of substituted allenylcarbinyl alcohols⁴ and spiropentylcarbinyI alcohols⁵ and deamination reactions of methylenecyclopropylcarbinylamine⁶ and substituted spiropentylcarbinylamines.³ **Thermal reference to the leading reference to the state of a leading report of** \mathbf{r}

methods reference to therman reference in the set of 9.

ment of the corresponding alcohols with thionyl chloride in the presence of tri-n-butylamine. Allenylcarbinyl alcohol, obtained by treatment of 4 - chloro - 2 - butyn - **1 -** 01 with lithium aluminum hydride,^{*} was converted to 2-methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl alcohol and spiropentylcarbinyl alcohol by treatment with Simmons-Smith reagent; the 2-mcthylcnecyclopropylcarbinyl alcohol was contaminated with **l&15%** cyclopropylideneethanol. The last alcohol was obtained in sufficient amounts by thermal rearrangement of its isomer.¹ The equilibrium mixture at 200" of the alcohols corresponding to 2 and 3, obtained starting with pure samples of both alcohols, contained 65% 2-methylcnecyclopropylcarbinyl alcohol and 35% cyclopropylideneethanol. Interestingly, thermal rearrangcment of 3 gave 3-methylenecyclobutyl chloride.

Kinetics. In Tables 1 and 2 are listed first-order

			Purity, %	
Compound	Temp., °	k, $sec^{-1} \times 10^{6}$	VPC	∞titer
1	40	1.17	96	96
		1.22	96	95
	55	5.00	99	99
		5.17	99	97
	69.9	$23 - 0$	96	96
		$23 - 7$	96	96.5
3	40	0.195	93	
		0.197	93	
	55	$1 - 34$	93	80
		1.27	93	73
	69.9	6.50	93	71
		$6 - 82$	96	83
		$6 - 88$	96	81

TabIe 1. First-order rate constants for solvolysis in 80% aqueous ethanol

Table 2. First-order rate constants for soivolysis in 50% aqueous dioxane

			Purity, %	
Compound	Temp, °	k, sec ⁻¹ \times 10 ⁶	VPC	∞titer
1	40	$2 - 53$	99	107°
	40	2.48	99	113*
	55	12-4	99	108^*
	55	$12 - 2$	99	$113 -$
	69.9	$52 - 2$	99	99-5
	69.9	53.5	99	99.5
2	40	$56 - 2$	45	100
	69.9	924	61	100
3	40	2.17	93	82
	40	2.12	86.5	
	55	$11 - 7$	93	112°
	55	$12 - 0$	93	99
	69.9	$55 - 5$	93	90
	69.9	$55 - 8$	93	91
4	40	$14 - 2$	87	
	40	$13-3$	87	
	55	$64-0$	87	100.5
	55	$70 - 8$	87	95.5
	69.9	297	87	$98 - 0$
	69.9	345	87	97.7

"See the next to last paragraph under "Kinetic runs".

rate constants for solvolysis of 1 and 3 in 80% aqueous ethanol and of 1-4 in 50% aqueous dioxane. Activation parameters are given in Table 3.

Comparison of the solvolysis rate constants of 1-4 with those of the related allyl, crotyl, ν -methylpropargyl, and cyclopropylcarbinyf systems (Table 4) shows that the solvolytic reactivity of $1-4$ is substantial and indicates that these compounds are reacting *via* positive charge-delocalized transition states. The nature of the solvolysis products from 14 give support to this inference.

Products. Solvolysis of allenylcarbinyl chloride (1) in 76% aqueous dioxane in the presence of calcium carbonate gave 8-l 1% vinyl acetylene, N-1 1% chloroprene, 6% methyl viny1 ketone, and 73-77% of the corresponding alcohol, allenylcarbinyl aicohol. Heat-induced rearrangement of 1 was not a factor in its solvolysis reaction; this was shown by heating a neat sample of 1 at $80 \pm 5^{\circ}$ for 48 hr and observing no change in the VP chromatoeram.

In the absence of calcium carbonate the same four products were formed, but the amount of the major product, allenylcarbinyl alcohol, decreased after 80-90 hr of heating due to reaction with the hydrochloric acid formed on solvolysis.

Sotvolysis in the presence of excess calcium chloride gave no alcohol products but did give

Table 4. Relative rate constants

Chloride	$k_{\rm rel}$ in 80% C ₂ H ₃ OH at 69.9° Dioxane at 25 ^{os}	k_{rad} in 50%
Crotyl	$1-00$	1.00^*
		$2 - 8$
	0.18 ^c	0.042
γ -methylpropargyl	$0 - 018$	0.0098
allyl	0.034 ^d	ca 0.001°
Cyclopropylcarbinyl	5.7	
	0.85	0.039
		0.31

l Extrapolated values for **l-4.** *P. J. C. Fierens, G. Genskens and G. Klopman, Buti. Sot. Chim. Beiges 68,
Genskens and G. Klopman, Buti. Soc. Chim. Beiges 68, 2.33×10^{-5} separated $K = 7.20 \times 10^{-5}$ see . $K = 2.33 \times 10^{-5}$ see-'. 4.5×10^{-5} 1.55×10^{-3} sec \therefore Extrapolated Trom 50% culture $(1 -$ 1.604) at 44.6° using the Grunwald–Winstein equation and the Arrhenius equation $(E_n = 20.4 \text{ kcal/mole})$. 'From Ref 17, p. 35.

Table 3. Activation parameters

Compound	Solvent	$\Delta H_{\text{ss}}^{\text{t}}$, kcal/mole	$\Delta S_{\text{5}r}$, e.u.
	80% Ethanol	20.6 ± 2.0	-20 ± 6
	50% Dioxane	21.1 ± 0.3	-17 ± 1
2	50% Dioxane	19.3 ± 0.6	-15 ± 2
3	80% Ethanol	24.6 ± 0.2	-10.6 ± 0.6
3	50% Dioxane	22.5 ± 0.1	-12.6 ± 0.3
	50% Dioxane	21.8 ± 0.7	-11 ± 2

about 20% rearrangement to chloroprene plus < 1% vinylacetylene and methyl vinyl ketone. This observation rules out the possibility that the ketone is formed by an S_N2' reaction because the added chloride should not affect the rate of formation of ketone by such a mechanism.

The most compelling evidence for an S_N1 mechanism in solvolysis of **1** is formation of the elimination product, vinylacetylene. It is difficult to rationalize the formation of this product in any way but from a resonance-stabilized ionic intermediate.

$$
[CH2=C=CH-CH2 \longleftrightarrow CH2=C-CH=CH2]\nB:\n-BH\n
$$
HC=C-CH=CH2
$$
$$

The product distributions in the solvolysis of methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride (3) and spiropentylcarbinyl chloride (4) (see below), which solvolyze predominantly by S_N1 paths, indicate 5% and 18%, respectively, of unrearranged products, In these systems, S_N1 solvolysis gives less than 20% of direct substitution products. If the same factors can be assumed to be operative in the allenylcarbinyl system, distribution of the positive charge should favor the vinyl cation form, and less than 20% direct substitution of allenylcarbinyl alcohol by an S_N1 mechanism should occur.

The accumulated data demonstrates that **1** solvolyzes partially by an S_N1 pathway, which gives the three minor products. Most of the solvolysis in 76% aqueous dioxane, perhaps as much as 70-75%, proceeds by S_N2 displacement by solvent. A significant S_N2' pathway can be excluded.

Cyclopropylideneethyl chloride, in the presence of calcium carbonate in 80% aqueous dioxane, solvolyzed completely in 5 hr at 85° to give 8 products detectable by VPC. Two of these, subsequently identified as the corresponding alcohol, cyclopropylideneethanol, and 2 - hydroxymethyl - 1,4 - butadiene, were formed in near equal amounts and accounted for 80% of the products. Formation of the acyclic product seems best explained on the basis of ring opening of a resonance-stabilized cation to a

more stable allylic cation, which undergoes attack by solvent. Cyclopropylideneethanol can also be formed via the cation that is formed initially, but analogy with the behavior of 3 and 4 leads us to believe that most of this alcohol is formed by an S_N2 pathway.

Solvolysis of methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride under the usual reaction conditions gave fourteen products detected by VPC. The major product was 3-methylenecyclobutyl chloride, which accounted for 46% of the product. Also characterized were two alcohols, 3-methylenecyclobutyl alcohol (38%) and methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl alcohol (5%). Acetylcyclopropane, a product of the deamination of methylenecyclopropylcarbinylamine, could have been one of the unidentified minor products. In the absence of calcium carbonate, 3-methylenecyclobutyl alcohol was not detected and the relative amount of one of the lesser products was increased. Keefer and Roberts¹⁰ reported that 3-methylenecyclobutyl alcohol reacts with acid or base to give only β methylcrotonaldehyde and its condensation products. We can speculate that reaction of the cyciobutanol with the hydrochloric acid formed in this reaction must have occurred and the product found in increased amount was β -methylcrotonaldehyde.

Our findings are in agreement with the Japanese workers⁶ who studied deamination of methylenecyclopropylcarbinylamine and found that 3-methylenecyclobutyl compounds made up most of the product mixture. The nature of the products and the fact that methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride solvolyzes within an order of magnitude as rapidly as cyclopropylcarbinyl chloride indicate that the reaction occurs primarily by an S_N1 pathway and that the cyclopropyl ring carbon to which most of the positive charge is delocalized is the least saturated, e.g.,

The results from solvolysis of spiropentylcarbinyl chloride (4) were similar in kind to those oboniji vidoriac (4) wore simali in kine to those
toined from solvolysis of methyleneouslanze cance trom sorrorysis or memyrenceychopropyr carbinyl chloride (3). Fifteen products were de-
tected by VPC, and three of these made up 75% of the product mixture. The major product, which accounted for 32% of the product mixture, was 5 ehlorospirohexane. Also characterized were two alcohols, S-spirohexanol and spiropentylear wele two arcohols, 5-spirohexanol and spiropentylcarbinyl alcohol, which made up 25% and 18%, respectively, of the product mixture. As with the reaction of 3, the nature of the products from 4 and its reactivity comparable to cyclopropylcarbinyl chloride indicate that the major solvolysis pathway is an S_N1 reaction and that the cyclopropyl ring carbon which **assumes most of the positive charge is the least saturated, that is, the carbon common to both** rings

EXPERIMENTAL

Temps are uncorrected. UR spectra were obtained with a Perkin Elmer 237B, Beckman IR-4, or Beckman IR-8 spectrophotometer. Spectra of small samples were obtained with the Beckman lR-8 equipped with a beam condenser. The micro samples were contained in a cavity cell supplied by Barnes Engineering Company. NMR spectra were obtained at 60 MHz with a Varian Associates A6OA spectrometer; unless noted otherwise, spectra were taken of IO-20% solns in CCL, and resonance frequencies in NMR spectra were determined relative to internal TMS. VP chromatograms were obtained with either an Aerograph Model A-700 or a Varian-Aerograph Model 90-P. VPC cohrmns used were: 15% Armene SD on HMDS treated Chromosorb W, 12-ft $\times\frac{1}{4}$ -in.; 20% Carbowax 20 M alkaline on acid washed, DMCS treated Chromosorb P, 10-ft $\times\frac{1}{2}$ -in.; 15% Diisodecyl phthalate on Chromosorb W, 12-ft \times $\frac{1}{2}$ -in.; 20% FFAP on DMCS treated Chromosorb P, 10-ft $\times\frac{1}{h}$ in.; and 15% octyl phthalate on HMDS treated Chromosorb W, 12-ft *xi-in.* Unless stated otherwise, VPC analyses were based on relative areas rather than relative response factors. Elemental analyses were carried out by the Microanalytical Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley.

Allenylcarbinyl chloride (1) was prepared by the method of Carothers et al.' From 330 g of monovinylacetylene* was obtained 140 g (25%) of 1 of 96% purity. Redistillation of $32.6g$ of this material through a 60-cm Podbielniak column with tantalum were spiral" gave $23 \cdot 7$ g of $> 99\%$ pure 1, b.p. 88–89°, $n_0^{2!}$ 1.4768 (lit⁷ b.p. 88°, n_0^{20} 1.4775). The NMR spectrum was in agreement with that reported by Ferguson."

Methylenecyclopropylcarbinol and spiropentylcarbinol. Allenylcarbinol[®] (12 \cdot 8 g, 0 \cdot 18 mole) was treated with 146 g (055 mole) of diiodomethane and 6Og of powdered Zn-Cu couple" by the method of Le Goff.¹³ After removal of the ether by distillation, the residue was examined by VPC on FFAP and found to consist of 73-76% methylenecyclopropylcarbinol, 22-24% spiropentylcarbinol, and 2-3% cyclopropylideneethanol. The residues from two runs were combined, and a rough separation of the principal carbinols was effected by distillation through the 60 cm Podbielniak column. The yield of methylenecyclopropylcarbinol was $14.6 g (47\%)$, b.p. 75-81° (62 mm); that for spiropentylcarbinol was 3.4 g (9.5%), b.p. 67-71° (13 mm). Further purification was achieved by distilling the combined products from several runs through a Nester-Faust 0.8×60 -cm polymer-coated spinning band column, and analytical samples of > 99% purity were obtained by VPC on Carbowax 20 M alkaline.

Methylenecyclopropylcarbinol: b.p. $78-80^{\circ}$ (54 mm); n_{D}^{21} 1.4670°, IR, 885 and 1740 cm⁻¹ (C= C) [lit¹⁴ b.p. 138-139°; n_{D}^{25} 1.4644; IR, 11.26 and 5.73 μ]; NMR, δ 5.38 (m, 2, $=$ CH₂), 3.47 (AB part of ABX pattern, $J_{AB} = 11$ Hz, $J_{AX} =$ 6 Hz, $J_{\text{BX}} = 6$ Hz, 2, CH₂O), 2.8-4.1 (conc dependent s, 1, OH), m centered at 1.3 ppm $(3,$ cyclopropyl ring H's). Spiropentylcarbinol: b.p. 87° (50 mm); n_D^{21} 1.4645; NMR, δ 3.46 (d, 2, CH₂O), $2.8-3.6$ (conc dependent s, 1, OH), m centered at O-8 ppm (7, cyclopropyl ring H's). (Found:-C, 73.35; H, 10.11. $C_6H_{10}O$ requires: C, 73.43; H, 10.27%).

Thermal rearrangement of methylenecyclopropylcarbinof. A 0.69-g sample of methyleneeyclopropyicarbinol, which had been purified by VPC, was dissolved in 1 ml of PhH. The soln was sealed in a heavy-walled glass tube and heated for 1 hr at $220 \pm 10^{\circ}$ in a wax bath. Analysis by VPC on Carbowax 20 M alkaline showed the presence of a new substance in addition to PhH and starting alcohol with retention time similar to that of the latter compound and presumed to be cyclopropylideneethanol. Triplicate analysis by VPC indicated that the alcohol mixture was $63.6 \pm 0.3\%$ methylenecyclopropylcarbinol and $36.4 \pm$ 0.3% cyclopropylideneethanol. The remaining sample was resealed, heated an additional 4 hr at $220 \pm 10^{\circ}$, and again analyzed by VPC; the alcohol ratio was unchanged. An NMR spectrum of the soln was obtained. In addition to bands **due** to PhH and starting alcohol, bands were observed at δ 6.03 (m, = CH), 4.28 (d, $J = 6$ Hz, CH₂O), and 0.93 (apparent s, cyclopropyl H's). Comparison of band areas indicated the presence of 63% starting alcohol and 37% rearranged alcohol.

The equilibration procedure was repeated. Purified methylenecyclopropylcarbinol was heated in PhH solution for 1.5 hr at $220 \pm 10^{\circ}$. Analysis by VPC on both Armenc SD and FFAP indicated that the alcohol mixture was $66.3 \pm 0.6\%$ starting alcohol and $33.7 \pm 0.6\%$ cyclopropylideneethanol.

Cyclopropylideneethanol. A soln of 2.0 g of methylenecyclopropylcarbinol and 20 g *of* diphenylether was heated at $220 \pm 5^{\circ}$ for 4 hr. VPC on FFAP indicated that 36.6% rearrangement had occurred. Distillation through the spinning band column separated the alcohols from diphenylether. The alcohols $(4.3 g)$ from 2 runs were combined and distilled through the spinning band column. A 1.5-g fraction consisting of 72% mcthylenecyclopropykarbinol was collected at 73-78" (60 mm), and a 0*8-g fraction of cyclopropylidcnccthanol was collected at 56-61° (15 mm). A sample of $>99\%$ rearranged alcohol was collected by prep VPC on FFAP: n_0^2 1.4729; NMR, δ (m > 9 lines, 1, C=CH), 4.3 (cone dependent s, 1, OH), 4.17 (d, m, $J =$ 6.5 Hz, 2, CH₂O), and 1.07 ppm (narrow m, 4, cyclopropyl H 's). (Found: C, 70.86; H, 9.70. C₃H_aO requires: C, 71.29; $H. 9.59\%)$

Thermal rearrangement of cyclopropylideneethanol. A soln prepared from 24 μ 1 of > 99% pure cyclopropylideneethanol and 100 μ l of PhH was sealed in a heavywalled glass tube and heated at $220 \pm 10^{\circ}$ for 1.5 hr. Analysis of the pale yellow soln by VPC on FFAP indicated that the alcohol mixture was 65.5% methylenecyclopropylcarbinol and 34.5% cyclopropylideneethanol. This analysis was confirmed by NMR, which showed that the alcohol ratio was 65:35.

Methylenecyciop~~y~curb~y~ chloride (3). The procedure is patterned after one used for the preparation of cure is patterned after one used for the preparation of
cyclopropyleachingly chloride.¹⁵, A solar of 12, 0.5, 0.142 σ yciopropylcarbinyl chiomic. A some of 12°08 (0°142) mole) of 90% pure methylenecyclopropylcarbinol, $26.4 g$
(0.142 mole) of tri-n-butylamine, and 250 ml of ether was $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$. The $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ and since and cooled to θ . Though chonge $(1/9)$, 0.142 mole) was added dropwise in 1 hr at such a rate that the temp was maintained below 6°. Distillation through a 7-cm Vigreaux column gave 7.4 g (51%) of colorless liquid, b.p. $69-70^{\circ}$ (200 mm), and analysis by VPC on Carbowax 20 M alkaline indicated that it consisted of a major component

^{*}Gift of E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Elastomers Division. Technical grade, diluted 50/50 with xylene, and contained in a one-quart steel cylinder.

(ca 88%) and **2 minor components (6% each). Redistillation through the spinning-band column gave a 1-65-g frac**tion, which was 96% pure 3: b.p. 57° (200 mm); n_0^{23} 1⁻⁴622; **IR, 890 and 1760 cm⁻¹ (C=C); NMR,** δ **5.45 (m, 2, =CH₂),** 3.42 (AB part of ABX pattern, $J_{AB} = 11$ Hz, $J_{AX} = 6$ Hz, $f_{\text{ax}} = 6$ Hz, 2, CH₂Cl), and 1.5 ppm (broad m, 3, cyclopropyl H's). (Found: C, 58.71; H, 6.92; Cl, 34.38. C₃H₂Cl requires: C, 58.56; H, 6.88; Cl, 34*56%).

Spiropentylcarbinyl chloride (4). A procedure similar to **that used to prepare 3 was employed. From 3-O g (O-031 mole) of spiropentylcarbinol (92% pure by VPC)** and **equimolar** amounts **of** tri-n-butylamine and thionyl chloride in 60 ml of **ether was** obtained 2.6g (73%) of colorless spiropentylcarbinyl chloride, b.p. 69-71" (90 mm) and $42-70^{\circ}$ (10-90 mm). The procedure was repeated to give 2.7 g (76%) of product. Analysis by VPC (Armene SD) indicated that the product consisted of 90% spiropentylcarbinyl chloride and two major and three minor impurities, including methylenecyclopropylcar**binyl** chloride. The **products were** combined and redistilled through a polymer-coated spinning band column. The first fraction $(0.9 g)$ was collected at $68-72^{\circ}$ (90 mm) and was Sl% 4, In addition to bands due to 4, it had IR 1630 cm^{-1} (C=C) and NMR δ 5.18 (br), 4.99 (br), 4.52 (pentet), 4.00 (s), 2.93 (d, $J = 6$ Hz), 2.51 (d, $J = 7$ Hz) and 0.47 ppm (s). The bands at δ 4.52, 2.51, and 0.47 ppm, with an approximate intensity ratio of $1:4:4$, correspond to those reported'" for 5-chlorospirohexane; the other bands are consistent with the 2 - (chloromethyl) - 1.4 - pentadiene structure. A sample of 95% 4 had n^{23} 1 **4601** and NMR δ 3.50 (AB part of ABX pattern, $J_{AB} = 11$ Hz, $J_{AX} =$ $7 \text{ Hz}, J_{\text{Bx}} = 7 \text{ Hz}, 2, \text{ CH}_2\text{Cl}, 1.57 \text{ (m, 1, CH)}, \text{ and } 0.77 \text{ ppm}$ **(m, 6, cyclopropyl H's). (Found: C, 61.80; H, 7.81; Cl, 30.58. &H&l requires: C, 61.81;** Id, **7+78; Cl, 30941%).**

Cyclopropylideneethyl chloride (2). The method used for the preparation of methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride was used. From $2.0 g$ (23.8 mmole) of 65% pure cyclopropylideneethanol, 4.4 g (23.8 mmole) of tri-n-butylamine, and 2.8 g (23-5 mmole) of thionyl chloride in 40 ml of ether at $0-5^\circ$ was obtained 1.35 g (55%) of 55% pure 2; the major fraction $(0.60 g)$ had b.p. S2-53'/70-79 mm. A sample of **2** of > 96% purity was obtained by prep VPC on FFAP at 120° : n_0^{22} 1.4770; no band in the 1650 cm⁻¹ region; NMR, δ 5.98 (t, $J = 7$ Hz, 1, $=$ CH), 4.17 **(d, J** = 7 Hz, 2, CH₂Cl), 1.17 ppm **(narrow** mult, 4, CH_2-CH_2) (from the fine splitting of the δ 5.98 and 4.17 ppm bands, it was estimated that J_{CH_2} —C=CH = 2 Hz and J_{CH} , -C=C--CH₂Cl = 1 Hz). (Found: C, 58.26; H, 7.21; Cl, 34.32. C,H,Cl requires: C, 58.56; H, 6.88; CI, 34.56%).

Kinetic runs. A weighed sample of the chloride to be sotvolyzed was quickly pipetted into approximately 95 ml of solvent in a lOO-ml volumetric flask which had been temperature equilibrated, and the timer was started. The temperature equilibrated, and the timer was started. The
soln was brought to 100 ml with additional solvent and shaken thoroughly. Aliquots of 5-00 ml were removed shaken thoroughly. Aliquots of 5-00 ml were removed **periodically and delivered into 10 ml of CCL at about** - 10°. After addition of 20 ml of water and 3 drops of bromthymol blue indicator, the solns were titrated with 0.0238 or 0.0281 N NaOMe in MeOH. The solns were stirred magnetically during titration. Infinity titers were obtained after at least 10 half-lives. In all but two runs the reactions were followed to better than 50% completion, and in the majority of runs the reactions were followed to more than 80% completion. Duplicate runs were carried out; agreement between duplicate runs was within 5%. A. plot of log (A/A₀) vs time, t, was made from the data obtained in each run, where A is the concentration of total

chloride at any time t, and A₀ is the initial concentration. The first-order rate constant, k, was calculated from the initial slope using the equation $k = -2.303 \Delta$ log (A/A_o)/ Δt . The initial concentration, A_o, was deter**mined from the** VPC purity **where internal return to slowly solvolyzed chlorides occurred; othenvise, A,, was** determined from the infinity titer. Where the infinity titer method was used the initial concentrations agreed very closely with those determined by VPC purity. The initial concentration varied from 0.0162 to 0.0949 M but was generally between 0.032 and 0.071 M. The concentration, $A₁$, is equal to the initial concentration of reactant, $A₀$, less the concentration of HCl determined by titration. Rate constants are summarized in Tables 1 **and** 2.

In Table 2 are several infinity titers of > 100%. **As the** infinity titers were obtained by titration with standard **base, excess acid, such as from decomposition of solvent impurities, results in high titers. Two different lots of Mallinckrodt Reagent Grade Dioxane were used to prepare the 50% dioxane solvent, and both gave positive blank titers. The blanks from one lot were small (0~02-0~08 ml) and increased only slightly at the infinity point. The other lot showed somewhat larger blanks** $(0.15-0.42 \text{ ml})$, and these increased to $0.59-1.81 \text{ ml}$ at the **infinity point. These blanks were subtracted from the kinetic titers for all runs of all compounds solvolyzed in aqueous dioxane. However, separate infinity blanks were not obtained in earlier determinations including the rate runs for solvolysis of allenylcarbinyl chloride at all three temperatures. Instead, initial blanks were subtracted, and this is the probable reason for the high infinity titers in** Table **2. Blank titers in aqueous ethanol were negligible.**

Activation parameters were calculated in the usual way'? and are summarized in Table 3.

Products of solvolysis in 80% aqueous dioxane

(A) From *allenylcarbinyl chloride* (1). A soln was prepared from 10 μ 1 of 1 (> 99% pure by VPC) and 200 μ 1 of 80% dioxane, and $25 \mu l$ aliquots together with solid Na₂CO₃ were sealed in m.p. capillary tubes with I.D. of l+S-2+0 mm. The capillary tubes were heated in an oil bath at $90 \pm 3^{\circ}$. At various intervals a capillary tube was re**moved and the contents were analyzed by VPC on FFAP. As time progressed four product bands appeared and gradually increased in size as the baud due to 1 decreased. After 80hr only about 5% of the starting material remained. The relative areas of the product bands were determined twice, and these expressed as mole percents are given in Table 5.**

Table 5. Sofvolysis products from aIlenylcarbiny1 chloride

	Approximate mole %	
Product	Run ₁	Run 2
Vinylacetylene	8	11
Chloroprene	10	11
Methyl vinyl ketone	6	6
Allenylcarbinyl alcohol	77	73

The relationship between mole percent and relative band Participal Property and Participal Property and Property of American band area was verified by analysis of mixtures of known composition. This was done for a mixture of methyl vinyl ketone and allonylcarbinyl alcohol and for a mixture of methyl vinyl ketone and 1.

When the same reaction was carried out in the absence of CaCO, the same four product bands developed, The relative area of the **major product peak** (due to allenylcarbinyl alcohol) started to decrease after 80-90 hr. This was shown to be due to reaction of the alcohol with the hydrochloric acid generated in the reaction.

The solvolysis was also carried out in the presence of calcium chloride. A soln of 10 μ l of 1 in 200 μ l of 80% aqueous dioxane was divided into $25-\mu$ 1 aliquots and sealed in capillary tubes together with solid CaCl₂. The tubes were heated at $80 \pm 5^\circ$. No alcohol was detected by VPC after 65 br, but about 20% of the chloride rearranged to chloroprene and small bands $\ll 1\%$ yield) appeared due to vinyiacetylene and methyl vinyl ketone.

As the above runs were carried out on a small scale, there were insufficient quantities of the products available for complete identification. Therefore, a large-scale run was carried out with $10.0 g$ (0.113 mole) of $> 99\%$ pure 1 and 13.0 g (0.130 mole) of CaCG, in 200 ml of 76% aqueous dioxane. The mixture was stirred for 138 hr at $95 \pm 5^{\circ}$ and worked up. The presence of vinylacetylene, chloroprene, methyl vinyl ketone, and allenylcarbinyl alcohol was shown by means of lR and NMR spectroscopy and by comparison of VPC retention times with authentic samples.

Thermal stability of 1 was assessed by heating a sealed sample at $80 \pm 5^{\circ}$ for 48 hr. Analysis by VPC showed that the sample was still $>99\%$ pure.

The stability of allenylcarbinyl alcohol was also assessed by dissolving 10 μ 1 in 100 μ 1 of 80% aqueous dioxane, sealing $25 \mu l$ of this soln in each of two capillary tubes, and heating at $80 \pm 5^{\circ}$ for 96 and 168 hr. Neither sample showed any change from the original soln.

(B) *From cyclopropylideneethyl chloride* (2). The same micro procedure as described above was carried out with 95% pure 2 and a bath temp of $85 \pm 2^{\circ}$. VPC bands due to products were noted early in the reaction, and after 5 hr only a trace of 2 remained. There were 8 bands due to products, and the retention times on FFAP at 143" and the approximate percent of total product are summarized in Table 6. Note that the two products with longest retention times accounted for 80% of the product; these compounds were subsequently identified as 2 - **hydroxymetbyl -** I,3 butadiene and cyclopropylideneethyl alcohol.

Table 6. Solvolysis products from cyclopropylideneethyl ucts ffui
chloride

Product	Retention time on FFAP at 143°, min	Approximate mole %
Α	$1-2$	
в	$1-7$	
C	$2 - 4$	8
D	3.3	٦
E	7.0	trace
F	$9 - 8$	
G°	$11-9$	38
Н,	$14-0$	42

 $\overline{}$ ϵ -Hydroxy

When the reaction was carried out in the absence of when the reaction was carried out in the absence of σ of σ . $CaCO₃$, bands due to the major products and product F were greatly diminished in size and a new band developed
with a retention time slightly less than that of 2. After

100 hr at $85 \pm 2^{\circ}$, this band accounted for $> 90\%$ of the product. This product did not have the same retention time of methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride (3).

Only a small amount of 2 was available for a larger scale run, and this was contaminated with about 60% methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride (3). Fortunately, solvolysis of 2 is considerably faster than solvolysis of 3, and the reaction was stopped before much 3 had reacted. From 0.35 g (3.40 mmole) of impure 2 and 0.35 g (3.50 mm) mmole) of CaCO₃ in 5 ml of 75% aqueous dioxane was obtained 2 - **hydroxymethyl -** I,3 - butadiene and cyclopropylideneethyl alcohol by distillation followed by prep VPC; identity of the two major products was established by comparison of their IR and NMR spectra and VPC retention times with authentic samples. (Preparation of 2 hydroxymethyl - 1.3 - butadiene is described below.)

(C) From methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride (3). The micro procedure described under part A above was carried out with 99% pure 3 and a bath temperature of 85-90°. After 43 hr, the starting material had all reacted and there were 14 bands due to products. The retention times on FFAP at 144° and the approximate percent of total product are summarized in Table 7. Note that the three major products accounted for nearly 99% of the product, and these were subsequently identified as 3-methylenecyclobutyl chloride, methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl alcohol and 3-metbylenecyclobutyl alcohol. When the reaction was carried out in the absence of CaCG,, the band due to 3-methylenecyclobutyl alcohol was not present.

Table 7. SolvoIysis products from methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl chloride

Product	Retention time on FFAP at 144°, min	Approximate mole %
A	$1-3$	$1-2$
B	1.5	$2 - 1$
$\mathbf C$	$1 - 7$	0.3
D	$2 - 0$	0.8
E	$2 - 3$	$0 - 1$
F	2.8	0.8
G^{\bullet}	$3 - 0$	45.8
н	3.5	$3-0$
I	$5 - 0$	0.8
J	5.4	0.5
K	$6-1$	trace
L	6.9	$1-8$
M,	$10-0$	$5-0$
N ^c	$12 - 0$	37.9

*3-Methylenecyclobutyl chloride. Methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl alcohol. '3-Methylenecyclobutyl alcohol.

From 3+0 g (0*0292 mole) of 97% **pure methylenecycloptopylcarbinyi chloride** and 3.5 g (O-035 mole) of CaCOt propyrearuiry chionuc and 5.5 g (0.055 mole) or Cacos
in 75 ml of 750% concent dioxane was obtained by distillain 75 ml of 75% aqueous dioxane was obtained by distillation followed by prep VPC: 3-methylenecyclobutyl chloride, NMR δ 4.83 (pentet, $J = 2$ Hz, 2, $=$ CH₂), 4.37 CHIOTIC, INMIX 0 4.03 (politet, $J = 2 \Pi L$, $L_1 = \Pi L_2$), 4.37
(narried, $I = 7 H_2$, 1, CHCl), and 3.09 ppm (narrow multip- μ_{eff} (pcnet, $J = \mu_{\text{eff}}$, μ_{eff} , μ_{eff}); methods are positions and continual allet, 4 , CH_2-C-H_3 ; methylenecyclopropylcarbinyl alcohol, identical with authentic material; and 3-methylenecyclobutyl alcohol, NMR δ 4.83 (pentet, $J = 2$ Hz, 2, $=CH₂$), 4.30 (pentet, $J = 7$ Hz, 1, CHO), 4.0 (s, 1, OH), and 2.79 ppm (narrow multiplet, 4, CH_2-C-CH_2). and 2.79 ppm (narrow multiplet, 4, $C_{12} - C - C_{13}$.
Council C, 71.58; H, 9.30. C, H, Q, requires: C, 71.30; H, (rouna
0.50%)

(D) *From spiropentylcarbinyl chloride* (4). The micro procedure described under part A above was carried out with 97% pure 4 and a bath temp of 90-95°. After 17.5 hr, the starting material had all reacted and there were 11 bands due to products present in more than trace amounts. The retention times on Armene SD at 112' and the approximate percent of total product of these products are summarized in Table 8. Note that the five major products accounted for nearly 90% of the product, and four of these were subsequently identified as 2 - chloromethyl - 1,3 - butadiene, 5-chlorospirohexane. spiropentylcarbinyl alcohol, and 5-spirohexanol.

From $1.3 g$ (0.0112 mole) of 90% pure spiropentylcarbinyl chloride and 1~3 g (0.013 mole) of CaCO, in 30 ml of 75% aqueous dioxane was obtained by distillation followed by prep VPC the four products listed above. 5- Spirohexanol had NMR δ 4.47 (pentet, $J = 7$ Hz, 1, CHO), 4.36 (s, 1, OH), 2.21 (d, $J = 7$ Hz, 4, cyclobutyl H's), and O-38ppm (s, 4, cyclopropyl H's); identity of the other three was established by comparison of IR and NMR spectra and VPC retention times with the compounds prepared in other ways.

2-Hydroxymethyl-1,3-butadiene. The procedure of Aufdermarsh¹⁸ was used to convert $8.0 g$ (0.09 mole) of allenylcarbinyl chloride and $2.4 g$ (0.099 mole) of Mg to the Grignard reagent. Formaldehyde, generated by heat-

chloride 54, 4066 (1932)

Product	Retention time on FFAP at 144°, min	Approximate mole %
A	1.8	
B.	4.5	7.5
\overline{c}	4.9	$32 - 1$
D	$6 - 1$	2.7
E	7.0	1·6
F	7.4	$1-1$
G	9.4	$1-0$
H۴	$10-0$	$17 - 7$
I^d	$10-9$	$25 - 1$
I	$12-8$	2.5
K	$13 - 4$	7.5

^{* 2&}quot;Chloromethyl-1 .fbutadiene. ' 5-Chlorospirohexane. Spiropentylcarbinyl alcohol. ⁴5-Spirohexanol.

ing $20g$ of paraformaldehyde at 180° , was run into the mixture over a period of 30 min. Water (10 ml) was added dropwise followed by 40 ml of $2 M H₂SO₄$. The phases were separated and distillation of the dried ether soln gave 0.9 g of product with b.p. 42-66' (O-5 mm), which proved to be a 3 : 1 mixture of 2 - **hydroxymethyl -** 1,3 - butadiene and 1,2 - pentadiene - 5 - 01. The former compound had NMR δ 6.39 (4 lines, X part of ABX pattern, $J_{AX} + J_{BX} =$ 29 Hz, 1, C₃-H), 5.18 (m, 4, =CH₂), 4.24 (s, 2, CH₂O), and 4.1 ppm (s, 1, OH). (Found: C, 71.01 ; H, 9.89 . C, H_8O requires: C, 71.39; H, 9.59). The latter product had NMR δ 4.72 (narrow mult, 3, $H_2C=CH$), 4.1 (s, 1, OH), 3.60 (m, 2, $CH₂O$) and 2.27 ppm (sym mult, 2, $=$ C $-CH₂-C$).

REFERENCES

- 'M. Hanack, *Accounts Chem. Res.* 3, 209 (1970)
- ²H. G. Richey and J. M. Richey, Carbonium Ions, Vol. 2, (Edited by G. A. Olah and P. V. R. Schleyer) pp. *899-95'7.* Wiley-Interscience, New York (1970)
- ³Z. Rappoport, T. Bässler and M. Hanach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 4985 (1970)
- 'A, Gelin, S. Gelin and M. Albrand, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 720 (1972)
- ⁵R. Maurin and M. Bertrand, *Ibid.* 2356 (1972)
- "A. Nishimura, H. Kato and M. Ohta, 1, *Am. Chem. Sot. 89,* SO83 (1%7)
- Table 8. Solvolysis products from spiropentylcarbinyl 'W. H. Carothers, G. J. Berchet **and** A. M. Collins, ibid
	- *W. J. Bailey and C. R. Pfeifer, 1. Org. Chen. ZU, 1337 (1955)
	- ⁹J. J. Gajewski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 4450 (1971)
	- '"E. F. Kiefer and f. D. Roberts, Ibid. 84, 784 (1%2)
	- "J. Cason and H. Rapoport, Laboratory *Tat in Organic* Chemistry (2nd Ed.) pp. 291-297. Prentice-Hall, **Englewood** Cliffs, N.J. (1962)
	- ¹²R. C. Ferguson, *J. Phys. Chem.* 68, 1594 (1964)
	- "E. Le Goff, J. Org. Chem. 29, 2048 (1964)
	- 'J. A. Carbon, W. B. Martin and L. R. Swett, J. *Am. Gem. Six. %o,* 1002 (1958)
	- *'5M. C.* Caserio, W. H. Graham and J. D. Roberts, Tet*ruhedron* 11, 171 (1960)
	- '3. E. Applequist and J. A. Landgrebe, J. *Am. Chem. sot. 86,* 1543 (1964)
	- '7A. Streitwieser, Jr., *Sulvofytic Displacement Reactions* pp. 7 and 8. McGraw-Hill, New York (1962)
	- ¹°C. A. Aufdermarsh, Jr., J. Org. Chem. 29, 1994 (1964)